Tetrahedron Letters, Vol.30, No.25, pp 3263-3266, 1989 Printed in Great Britain 0040-4039/89 \$3.00 + .00 Maxwell Pergamon Macmillan plc

RADICAL CHEMISTRY BASED ON (+)-CIS-PINONIC ACID

Derek H. R. Barton, Nubar Ozbalik and Martine Schmitt

Texas A&M University, Department of Chemistry, College Station, Texas 77843

Summary. The capture of the radical from decarboxylation of (+)-<u>cis</u>-pinonic acid has been investigated. Efficient trapping agents give good yields of desired products. Less efficient trapping conditions permit undesired opening of the four-membered ring.

Recently Wolk, Goldschmidt and Dunkelbaum<sup>1</sup> reported an elegant synthesis of the sex pheromone of the citrus mealybug. This compound, the acetate <u>1</u>, was obtained from (+)-<u>cis</u>-pinonic acid <u>2</u>, itself readily available from (+)- $\alpha$ -pinene. The final stage was methylenation of the ketone function with the Wittig reagent.

A key step in this synthesis was the conversion of the acid  $\underline{2}$  into the nor-bromide  $\underline{3}$ . Now we have recently shown<sup>2</sup> that the acyl derivatives of thiohydroxamic acids are an excellent source of carbon radicals. Typical thiohydroxamic acids for this purpose are <u>N</u>-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone 4 and the thiazoline derivative<sup>3</sup>  $\underline{5}$ . Acyl derivatives of these reagents are efficiently converted into, say, the corresponding nor-bromides in the presence of BrCCl<sub>3</sub> or CBr4, or nor-chlorides with CCl4.

Dr. J. L. Wolk (Bar-Ilan University) kindly informed us that the <u>cis</u>pinonic acid derivative of <u>5</u> did not undergo the desired reaction on irradiation in CCl<sub>4</sub> under reflux. With the encouragement of Dr. Wolk and his colleagues, we have investigated the reason for this failure. For convenience, we worked with the <u>cis</u>-pinonic acid derivative of <u>4</u>. Preparation through the acid chloride provoked epimerisation of the ketone, but using D.C.C. the derivative <u>6</u> was readily obtained (72%) (yellow crystals from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, m.p. 107-108<sup>°</sup>). Irradiation of a solution of <u>6</u> in BrCCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature with a tungsten lamp afforded the desired bromide<sup>1</sup> <u>3</u> (84%).

3263



Diphenyldiselenide is an excellent trap for radicals.<sup>4</sup> Photolysis of <u>6</u> (0.38 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  in presence of (PhSe)<sub>2</sub> (0.38 mmol) gave the phenylselenide <u>7</u> (98%)

The acyl derivatives of 4, in the absence of a radical trap, undergo decarboxylative rearrangement to give 2-pyridylsulfides. When derivative 6 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was photolyzed alone at room temperature, it afforded some (8%) of the expected pyridyl sulfide 8, but the major product was the vinyl derivative 9. This came from the ring opening of radical 10 and not from rearrangement of <u>8</u>. When the photolysis was carried out at  $-20^{\circ}$  to  $-30^{\circ}$ , the yield of g increased (45%) and that of g decreased (19%). Similar results were observed with (PhSe)2 trapping experiments at low concentrations of the trap.

We recently reported that the acyl derivatives of <u>4</u> and <u>5</u> react with  $Sb(SPh)_3$  in the presence of oxygen to furnish in high yield the corresponding nor-alcohol (R-CO<sub>2</sub>H  $\longrightarrow$  R-OH).<sup>5</sup> This is an interesting reaction involving an organoantimony intermediate. It should shorten the synthesis of acetate <u>1</u>.

Using Sb(SPh)<sub>3</sub> on a small scale gave alcohol <u>11</u> (70%). Since Sb(SPh)<sub>3</sub> is hydroscopic (yielding PhSH which traps competitively the radicals), we examined instead Ph<sub>2</sub>SbS( $\underline{o}$ -MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>). Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb was heated with  $\underline{p}$ -toluenesulfonic



acid hydrate in benzene to give Ph2SbOTs (78%), m.p. 175-178° In -20\* a two-fold excess of tetrahvdrofuran at -30° to with o-methoxythiophenol, this gave the known<sup>6</sup> Ph<sub>2</sub>SbS(<u>o</u>-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (82%, m.p. 102-104°). With this reagent, there was a fast reaction (5-10 mins) to give the nor-alcohol derivative. Addition of sufficient water to hydrolyze the antimony-oxygen bond followed by acetylation with acetic anhydride and pyridine gave directly the desired acetate <u>12</u> (75%) characterized as  $[\alpha]_D$ +102 $\pm$ 3° (C=10, in CHCl<sub>3</sub>) (lit.<sup>1</sup> + 103° in CHCl<sub>3</sub>). This procedure was extended to dihydrocinnamic acid (91% of  $\beta$ -phenylethyl acetate) and to cyclododecanecarboxylic acid (81% of cyclododecyl acetate).

We have thus been able to improve the synthesis of acetate  $\underline{12}$ , the direct precursor of the pheromone  $\underline{1}$ . We have also been able to explain the reasons for the earlier difficulties based on derivatives of  $\underline{5}$  (ring opening<sup>7</sup> of the radical  $\underline{10}$  at the higher temperature.)

In our earlier work<sup>2</sup> on the Hunsdiecker-Borodin reaction, we prepared acyl derivatives of <u>4 in situ</u> and decomposed them thermally. Although the results were satisfactory, the present room temperature photolysis procedure is milder and we recommend it. In a recently accepted paper<sup>8</sup> Curran, Newcomb and their collaborators have demonstrated the superiority of the room temperature photolysis of the acyl derivatives of  $\underline{4}$  for the preparation of bromides and especially iodides.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>. We thank the authors of Reference 1 for drawing our attention to the problem and the N.I.H. for financial support. We also thank Professor M. Newcomb for his courtesy in providing a copy of the paper cited in Reference 8.

## References

- 1. Wolk, J.L.; Goldschmidt, Z.; Dunkelblum, E. Synthesis 1986, 347.
- Barton, D.H.R.; Crich, D.; Motherwell, W.B. <u>J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun</u>. 1983, 939. <u>Idem, Tetrahedron</u> 1985, <u>41</u>, 3901. Barton, D.H.R.; Bridon, D.; Fernandez-Picot, I.; Zard, S.Z. <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1987, <u>43</u>, 2733. Barton, D.H.R.; Zard, S.Z. <u>Pure and Appl. Chem</u>. 1986, <u>58</u>, 675.
- Barton, D.H.R.; Kretzschmar, G. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. 1983, <u>24</u>, 5889. Barton, D.H.R.; Crich, D.; Kretzschmar, G. <u>Ibid</u>. 1984, <u>25</u>, 1287. Barton, D.H.R.; Crich, D.; Potier, P., <u>Ibid</u>. 1985, <u>26</u>, 5943. Barton, D.H.R.; Crich, D.; Kretzschmar, G. <u>J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1</u> 1986, 39.
- 4. Barton, D.H.R.; Bridon, D.; Zard, S.Z. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. 1984, <u>25</u>, 5777. Barton, D.H.R.; Bridon, D.; Zard, S.Z. <u>Heterocycles</u> 1987, <u>25</u>, 449.
- 5. Barton, D.H.R.; Bridon, D.; Zard, S.Z. <u>J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun</u>. 1985, 1066. <u>Idem. Tetrahedron</u>, in press.
- 6. Kravtsov, D.N.; Peregudov, A.S.; Pombrik, E.M.; Rokhlina, E.M.; Fedorov, L.A. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u>. 1974, <u>72</u>, 153.
- This kind of radical is known to open easily. Kaplan, L. <u>J. Org. Chem</u>. 1968, <u>33</u>, 2531. Wilt, J.W., Maravetz, L.L.; Zawadzki, <u>ibid</u>. 1966, <u>31</u>, 3018.
- 8. Curran, D.P.; Bosch, E.; Kaplan, J.; Newcomb, M. J. Org. Chem. in press.

(Received in USA 27 March 1989)